REGISTER OF CLASSIFICATION DECISIONS

Date of entry in Register:	13 October 2000
Name of applicant/court:	The District Court At New Plymouth
Applicant to the Labelling Body:	Not Applicable
Title of Publication:	Jeremy's Blow Job/Peter/Re: Alright... Don't Worry... /Re: Tell Me Everything/Re: Tell Me More...
Other Known Titles:	Not Stated/Not Stated/Alright... Don't Worry/Tell Me Everything/Tell Me More...
Director:	Not Applicable
Producer:	Not Applicable
Publisher:	Not Stated
Author:	Not Stated
Format:	Computer Printout e-mail
Country of Origin:	New Zealand
Language:	English

● ●

Components of film originally examined: Not Applicable.

Feature:	Running time:
Trailers:	Running time:
	Total Running time:

Excision/Alteration: Not Applicable.

Reason(s) for Excision:

Not Applicable.

… Please turn over

Classification Decision:

Objectionable.

Display Conditions:

Not Applicable.

Descriptive Note:

Not Applicable.

Direction to issue a label has been given on: Not Applicable.

SUMMARY OF THE REASONS FOR DECISION:

All of the emails have been written and sent by the Applicant, variously described in them as The Gnome or Martin The Gnome.

All of them are to Albert in Canada understood by the Applicant to be 15 years and one appears as well to have been sent to a 17 year old youth called “Ian”.

The emails purport to outline the Applicant’s sexual experiences. The descriptions were very detailed and descriptive of the sexual activities involved. Their content is both prurient and salacious.

While one cannot be sure whether the Applicant is recounting personal experiences or inventing stories, the reader can well take the inference that much of what is being described is fact.

All of the stories or experiences are written in a manner calculated to titillate and excite the recipient and promote and support sexual activity by or with children or young persons or both including those who have not reached the age of legal consent.

The Applicant tries to engage the recipient to reply to him with his account of how his stories and/or experiences have affected the recipient which can only be designed to continue the exploitation of the young for sexual purposes.

The Board was of the unanimous view that the emails variously fell within section 3(2)(a) of the Act in that they promoted or supported or tended to promote or support the exploitation of young persons for sexual purposes.

The Board classified all the emails as objectionable.

Dated at Wellington this 10th day of December 1999.

OFLC Ref: 1906